Tragedy Leads to Safer Care: Lessons Learned from a Patient Stairwell Death

Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital and Trauma Center

Troy Williams, RN, MSN Chief Quality Officer

My Pager 10/8/2013...



"Dead Body Found in Stairwell – Please Come to the 5th Floor Right Away"































Briefly What Happened

54 year old woman admitted to hospital for progressive physical and cognitive decline. On the 3rd day of hospitalization she went AWOL. Her nurses called our security service (we contract with the San Francisco Sheriff's Department) requesting assistance locating and returning her to the floor. Listening to the taped phone calls we learned her description was grossly incorrect and security had a limited response since she was not on a legal hold. She was not found that day.

Over the subsequent 5-7 days the family initiated a missing persons campaign in the neighborhood. Meeting with our security regularly we noted the need to be certain the whole campus was searched so we could work with family to focus search efforts in the community.

Briefly What Happened

On day 13 following Ms. Spalding's AWOL a person reported to a senior nurse they saw a person sleeping in a stairwell. The senior nurse concerned about an unsafe situation in the stairwell called security. On the taped phone calls we learned security confirmed they would secure the stairwell.

On day 17 following her AWOL, on a standard quarterly patrol of the service stairwell a building engineer found Ms. Spalding's remains.

The San Francisco Medical Examiner reported she had no traumatic injuries. Presumed death from metabolic or infectious cause.

What Did We Learn?

1. Assessment and Care of "At Risk" Patients

2. A well-coordinated significant event plan is critical

3. Clear leadership oversight of security

4. Importance of Transparency

Assessment and Care of "At Risk" patients

• Definition

• Monitoring/Interventions

• Code Green




Assessment and Care of "At Risk" patients

Definition:

A patient who (1) exhibits behavior indicating a compromised mental status that may put him/her in danger and requires further assessment, *or* (2) is on a legal psychiatric hold, *or* (3) has a surrogate decision-maker.

CLINICAL RECISION ALCORITHM FOR PATIENTS WITH IMPAIRED OR AT-RISE DECISION MAKING CAPACITY ***For questions, call Legal/risk management (Office 206-6600, 24-hour pager 327-9543.)***



1 from its determination is not been discuss with contributes countil need to force 377-90% 24 hours/fee). See teaching front datafied discussion.

When signed by uttending provider, fux all consentips olute forms to Logal Hisk Management Dept SFGH Rick Management at 266-4150 and place a copy in the front of the chart. If probate puper work needs oversight signature, places call FIS Attending at 433-4862 after completing paperwork by phone with sevice attending. Primary service attending must take responsibility for probate ASAP upon return to the hospital.

Ravised 12/2013

Assessment and Care of "At Risk" patients

CAPACITY TO MAKE MEDICAL DECISIONS Lee Rawitscher, MD - Psychiatry C/L Service, SFGH

This guideline can be used to evaluate a patient's capacity to consent to the work-up or treatment of a specific medical problem.

L. Does the patient understand the nature of the medical illness?

Typically, a basic understanding of the illness is adequate, but when subtleties are of critical importance, a deeper understanding may be necessary.

Examples of a patient having problems in this area.

- a) Patient is too confused or demented to understand the illness
- b) Patient understands your explanation, but forgets by the next day
- c) Patient is paranoid and believes you are lying
- d) Patient has delusions about the medical illness

2. Can the patient communicate a consistent choice?

Examples of a patient having problems in this area.

- a) Patient is comatose
- b) Patient refuses to speak about the issue
- c) Patient is too ambivalent to decide
- d) Patient expresses one choice verbally but behavior indicates another choice. (e.g. an NPO patient tells you he will not eat, but he continues to eat.)

3. Does the patient understand the risks/benefits of the recommended treatment and the risks/benefits of alternatives treatments (including doing nothing)?

- Examples of a patient having problems in this area.

- a) Patient is too confused or demented to understand the risks/benefits
- b) Patient understands your explanation, but forgets by the next day
- c) Patient can state risks but clearly minimizes them

4. In making a decision, can the patient manipulate the information rationally?

- This applies to all patients, whether refusing or accepting the recommended treatment
- Cultural, religious and philosophical issues should be taken into consideration.
 - (e.g. religious beliefs about blood transfusion)

Examples of a patient having problems in this area.

- a) Patient is too confused or demented to weigh risks/benefits
- b) Patient's reasoning is affected by delusions or other forms of psychosis
- c) Patient's reasoning is affected by severe depression
- d) Patient's reasoning is affected by extreme emotions (e.g. anger, fear)

Additional Considerations When Evaluating Capacity

- 1) A patient must fulfill all 4 of the above criteria to retain capacity.
- 2) Capacity is evaluated for a specific medical problem. An individual might lack capacity regarding one issue but retain capacity for another issue. (e.g., a patient might understand the need for antibiotics to treat a pneumonia, but the same patient might not understand the risks/benefits of cardiac catheterization.)
- 3) Capacity can change over time (e.g. a patient's delirium resolves)
- 4) If the benefits are very high and the risks are very low (e.g. antibiotics for sepsis), then a patient refusing treatment needs to demonstrate an excellent grasp of all 4 steps listed above.
- 5) A psychiatric evaluation is not required to determine a patient's capacity, but a psychiatric consultation can be helpful in ambiguous situations.

Revised 12/2013

¹ Par amintance with detailed cognitive tenting, comider occopaychology referral (and obligatory for at and ard capacity determination.) 206-5666.

Par amintance with complex cases consider SPGH Ritis a Committee review (comit request to description of other or existing appropriate the paperwise and arranged decision-coder holds consent from we available from Legal-Risk Management Department 327-983 or via CBN intranst.

S Emergency defined here are without treatment the nations is at risk for second horn or death

Assessment and Care of "At Risk" patients

Environment of Care Policy: 13.09

TITLE: CODE GREEN - MISSING "AT RISK" PATIENT ALERT

RESPONSE & SEARCH PROCEDURES

Administrative Policy Number: 18.02

TITLE: CLOSE OBSERVATION OF THE HOSPITALIZED PATIENT

Administrative Policy Number: 1.10

TITLE: AMA, AWOL & AWOL "AT-RISK": ADULT PATIENTS

LEAVING SFGH PRIOR TO COMPLETION OF THEIR

EVALUATION OR TREATMENT

Administrative Policy Number: 1.09

TITLE: PATIENT TRACKING SYSTEM

| CLOSE OBSERVATION FLOW RECORD (after 24hrs a new order is required) | | | | | | NAME: DOB: | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--|
| | INTERMITTENT ROUNDING | □ соасн | | | | MRN: | | | |
| | 0700-1900 | □ 1900-0700 | | | | PCP: | | | |
| | | 1900-0700 | | | | | | | |
| Date & Time of Initiation: / | | | | | Patient ID / Addressograph | | | | |
| | 40 's = po | | | g in bed | i/choir, p | ersonal Rems within reach | | , | |
| _ | 4Ps | | Staff Imitials | _ | 4Ps | | Time | / Staff lai | |
| • - | ACTIVITY (CODES A-F): | | | | | (ICODES A-F): | | ' | |
| - | NOTE (Optional): | | | 声 | | Optional): | | | |
| | 4Ps ACTIVITY (CODIES A-F): | / | | | 4Ps ACTIMITY (CODES A-F): | | | | |
| - | NOTE (Optional): | | | Hour | NOTE (Optional): | | | | |
| | 4Ps | 1 | | 6 | 4Ps | | | 1 | |
| ŀ | ACTIVITY (CODES A-F): NOTE (Optional): | COT | | Hou | | (CODES A-F): optional): | To. | , | |
| | 4Ps D | | | 0 | 4Ps | - 01 | TO . | 1 | |
| F | ACTIVITY (CODES A-F): | 1 | | Hour 10 | | (CODES A-F): | | 1 | |
| | NOTE (Optional): | | | | NOTE (Optional): | | | | |
| | 4Ps | | | 11 | 4Ps | | | 1 | |
| ŀ | ACTIMITY (CODES A-F): | | | Hour 1 | | (CODES A-F): | | 1 | |
| 1 | NOTE (Optional): | | | | NOTE (O | Optional): | | | |
| | 4Ps | 1 | | 2 | 4 Ps | | | 1 | |
| ŀ | ACTIVITY (CODES A-F): | | | Hour 12 | | (CODES A-F): | | 1 | |
| | NOTE (Optional): | | | Ног | NOIE (O | ptional): | | | |
| DIC | ATION(S): ☐ 1 = Suicide Precautions; ☐ necessary tubing; ☐ 5 = Poor | | | | | | drawal; 🗆 4 = Pull | ing on | |
| TIV | "ITY: □ A = Ambulate/Mobilize; □ | B = ROM/Excercises; | C = Prompt/ | assist w | ith ADLs; | ☐ D = Reorientation/Redirection | n; | | |
| | ☐ E = Diversional Activities (e | e card | s. music. TV. r | reading | etc 1 - 🗆 | F = Escort social dining room. | | | |


Administrative Policy Number: 18.02

TITLE: CLOSE OBSERVATION OF

THE HOSPITALIZED PATIENT

Monitoring/Interventions

e:



Monitoring/Interventions



Administrative Policy Number: 1.09

TITLE: PATIENT TRACKING SYSTEM

REPORTING A MISSING "AT RISK" PATIENT

- February 2014 -

After a brief initial search of the affected unit to confirm the patient is actually missing, the patient's primary nurse will notify:

- patient's primary care team;

- Charge Nurse/Nurse Manager of the Unit, who will notify the Administrator on Duty (AOD); and
- SESD at extension 6-4911 and use the following communication script (SBAR)

| | | - SI SD at exte | | ep breath and | | | | ipt (SDAN) | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------|---|
| Name of Repor Party: | rting | | | Title: | | Callbac (Extens | k Number ion): | | |
| Date: | | Time (24 hr format): SFSD Staff Taking Call: | | | | | | | |
| Missing Patient's Last Known Location: | | | | How long has the patient been missing from Location? | | | | | |
| Missing Patien known directio travel? | | | | | | | | | |
| | Missing Patient confirmed C Yes Is the patient to be "At-Risk"? No ambulatory? | | | | O Yes How ma | | | | |
| Missing Patien | t's First Na | me Last Nar | | | me: | | | | |
| | AGE | RACE | SEX | HEIGHT | WEIGHT | | HAIR | EYES | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| GOW | N COLOR | JACKET | SHIRT | PANTS | SHOES | | OTHER OTHING: | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | LATION AUTIONS | | | | | | | | |
| □ NO |) | YES, specify: | RESPIRATORY | CONTACT | | | | | |
| Check all that apply: Suicidal? Homicidal? Weapons? | | | | | | | | | |
| Other IMMEI information know about patient? Des | we need this | to | | | | | | | |
| | | ATION, IF AVA | | r) | | | | | |
| Patient's Address: Apt #: Telephone #: | | | | | | | | | |
| Street: | Street: Tarasoff? | | | | | | | | |
| City | Victim(s) notified? Cyes CNo | | | | | | | | |

Environment of Care Policy: 13.09

TITLE: CODE GREEN - MISSING "AT RISK" PATIENT ALERT RESPONSE & **SEARCH PROCEDURES**

"CODE GREEN"

| | IN FRANCISCO GENERAL OSPITAL AND TRAUMA CENTE | NAME DOB MRN |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | RISK" CHECKLIST / IG "AT-RISK" PATIENT FOR | PCP Patient ID / Addressograph |
| mental status, is on any deemed "At-Risk" and i outside the patient care: Note: This checklist is in proper care is provided a | type of legal hold or has a si is missing and can't be foun- area. itended to be completed to ass and documented. | ent exhibits behavior indicating a compromised nrugate decision maker. The patient has been d after a brief search of the immediate area includi sist the clinical staff with completing all steps assuring |
| Date: | Time: | Unit |
| Administrator-on- team. Complete the descri risk patient to the | Duty (AOD), The San Franci iption on the back of this che SFSD. | Nurse Manager, together they will notify the: seo Sheriff's Department at 6-4911, and the primary ck list to provide a description of the missing at |
| ** | * | search of common areas (main and |
| • | , cafeteria, 2 th floor vending | machines, and Emergency Department |
| lobby); | 1.61:0 | |
| | - | me the patient was last seen , the activated) , were they found and |
| | h any other important inform | * |
| - | al Occurrence Report | |
| | * | der to complete the next section. |
| | | • |
| Once the complete o | anerikusi is returned submit c | ampleted checklist to the AOD |
| | | ed about an AWOL "AT RISK" patient |

Document an "AWOL Note" in the LCR, include the circumstances and the measures taken to find the patient (ie: code green activated), were they found and returned along with any other important information. If they are not returned describe the measures that continue or the resolution of the code green, i.e., located with responsible party, arrested

- Place a clinical alert in the LCR
- Return completed check list to the primary nurse to submit to the AOD

Reporting a Missing "At-RiskPatient Form (see other side)

NOT PART OF THE MEDICAL RECORD



A well-coordinated significant event plan is critical

Communication Tools and Strategies-


- 1. Internal
 - a. Staff
 - b. Foundation
 - c. Governing Body

2. External

- a. Media
- b. Regulatory Agencies
- c. Family Attorney
- d. Law Enforcement

Significant Event Notification

San Francisco General Hospital and Trauma Center Critical Incident Notification (Sentinel Event)



Preliminary Briefing (If Needed) 2A6 Next Business Day at 9AM

Clear Leadership Oversight of Security (Sheriff's Dept.)

Coordinated search plan

Assistance with "At Risk" patients



• Performance metrics



Importance of Transparency

Open dialogue with family spokesperson and their lawyer


Regulatory agencies

• The media

• Our staff



Supporting Staff Emotional Impact



Discussion and Questions